

Disciplined Officer			Sanction						Synopsis	
Rank	First Name	Last Name	Terminated	Demoted	Suspended	# of Days Suspended	Other Sanction Type	Resigned/Retired/Transferred/Separated IA Pending	Sustained Charge	Description
Police Officer	John	Lopez	Yes	No	Yes		154 NA	No	3:1.1 Standard of Good Conduct, 3:1.7 Obedience to Laws, 3:1.8 Withholding Info, 3.4.3 False Reports	On or about May 15, 2021, Lopez submitted an Internal Incident Report requesting to be excused from shaving due to skin irritation. Included with the report was a doctor's note. The name of the doctor and location of the office were handwritten on the prescription blank. When asked the name of the doctor and the location of the office, Lopez was unable to provide an answer. The prescription was then photocopied to prove its authenticity but the word "VOID" did not appear on the copied version. With the oversight of the County Prosecutor, an investigation ensued and it was revealed that Lopez was not a patient at the office written on the prescription blank and that a doctor did not sign it. Lopez was eventually terminated as a result of this investigation.
SLEO I	Dariel	Vialet	No	No	Yes		8 NA	No	3:7.3 Harassment in the Workplace, General Order Discrimination and Harassment in the Workplace	On May 12, 2024, an Internal Incident Report was generated by a member of this agency who stated that they felt sexually harassed by SLEO I Vialet. An internal investigation was conducted. SLEO I Vialet admitted to having a conversation with the officer and also admitted to prefacing the conversation by asking if he could ask an inappropriate question. During his statement to internal affairs, SLEO I Vialet gave an explanation to his understanding of the word inappropriate as being anything not work related. He further explained that he did not believe that what he said to the other officer was sexual. The other officer, however, did feel sexually harassed by the comments and as such, the charges were sustained.